

# APPLIED COMPUTER SCIENCE

## PAPER REVIEW FORM

### **Instructions to Reviewers:**

*Please remember that all materials submitted for consideration to ACS are confidential, and should not be distributed, shared, used or otherwise supplied to third parties prior to publication.*

*Tell the Editors if there is any conflict of interest in reviewing of the paper.*

*Please phrase your reviews politely; even “bad” papers represent a lot of work on the part of the authors. The review may be the basis for further revisions of the paper or the work that the paper reports. We all know how hurtful a needlessly negative review can be, and how helpful a positive one can be; please try to bear that in mind when you are writing yours.*

ID-text-number: .....

Title of the paper: .....  
.....

Name of the Reviewer (*information only for the editor*): .....

Date of the review: .....

	General judgment	YES	NO	PARTIALLY	IT IS DIFFICULT TO SAY
1.	Does the title of the manuscript correspond with its content?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2.	Are abstract and keywords correct and appropriate?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3.	Is the subject of the study up to date and has it been appropriately substantiated with reference to the present state of knowledge?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.	Does the manuscript present new or original research results or methods?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5.	Are presented results of vital importance to the development of knowledge, innovativeness, ets?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

6.	Have the authors stated clearly what they have achieved and why it has been necessary to undertake the analyzed subject?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7.	Is the paper logically and methodologically correct?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8.	Is the terminology used accurate and compliant with the current standards?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9.	Is the selection of the cited literature sufficient and appropriate?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10.	Is the illustrative material clear, of good quality and properly selected?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11.	Is the article well-written and stylistically correct?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Overall recommendation:**

***Is the manuscript recommended for publication in Applied Computer Science?:***

- YES – publish, no significant alternations suggested.
- YES – publish, but suggest changes to the article as specified in this review
- YES – publish, but suggestions as specified in this review must be addressed by either making changes or explaining why changes would be inappropriate.
- NO – reject, but encourage author to try a major revision and a second peer review.
- NO – reject, do not encourage a rewrite.

*Please justify your assessment and provide detailed comments and justification for your overall recommendation (the author(s) will receive a copy of your comments):*

**Should the paper be reviewed after revisions again?:**

YES

NO

*Additional confidential comments to the editor only:*